UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,765	03/10/2004	Petteri Poyhonen	042933/271450	3955	
826 7590 08/23/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			EXAMINER		
			GONZALEZ, AMANCIO		
	101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
om meet re,	CITALDO FID, INC 20200 1000			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,765	POYHONEN, PETTERI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amancio Gonzalez	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u> 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 06/06/2007. Claims 1-54 are still pending in the present application. This action is made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-13, 16-22, 25-31, 34-40, 43-49, and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oda et al. (US 7177636 B2), hereafter "Oda."

Consider claims 1, 10, 19, 28, 37, and 46 as amended, Oda discloses an

apparatus for establishing a communication session with a terminal (see col. 1 line 17-19, col. 2 lines 5-63, where Oda discusses an apparatus to establish communication with a cellular terminal). Oda discloses a processor located in a network across which an originating client is configured to communicate (see col. 3 lines 35-42, 55-60, fig. 5, where Oda discusses a location registration auxiliary apparatus processing a connection from an originating radio terminal, the originating radio terminal configured to communicate with said apparatus, which has both cellular and IP network interfaces). Oda discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/797,765

Art Unit: 2617

3

wherein the node processor is configured to receive a connection request, and thereafter sending send a trigger to the terminal independent of the network, wherein the node processor is also configured to receive a registration message in response to the trigger to thereby register the terminal with the processor and identify the terminal across the network such that the communication session is capable of being established with the terminal based upon the identity of the terminal across the network (see the abstract, col. 2 lines 55-67, col. 3 lines 1-55, col. 21 lines 25-36, where Oda discusses the terminal controlling apparatus receiving a call set-up request and performing registration and identification procedures for a radio terminal to communicate across a network).

Consider claims 2, 3, 7, 11, 12, 16, 20, 21, 25, 29, 30, 34, 38, 39, 43, 47, 48, and 52 as amended, Oda teaches claims 1, 10, 19, 28, 37, 39, 46 and further discloses processing call setup request and registration procedures (see the abstract, col. 2 lines 55-67, col. 3 lines 1-55, col. 21 lines 25-36, where Oda discusses the terminal controlling apparatus receiving a call set-up request and performing registration and identification procedures for a radio terminal to communicate across a network).

Consider claims 5, 13, 22, 31, 40, and 49 as amended, Oda teaches claims 1, 10, 20, 29, 38, and 47 and Oda further discloses *inherently* buffering functions (see the abstract, col. 6 lines 65-67, where Oda discusses a control proxy server, a packet data element in which buffering is inherent).

Consider claims 8, 17, 26, 35, 44, and 53 as amended, Oda teaches claims 1, 10, 19, 28, 37, and 46 above further teaches wherein the processor is located in a

Art Unit: 2617

network across which an originating client is capable of at least one of directly and indirectly communicating (see the abstract, col. 2 lines 55-67, col. 3 lines 1-55, col. 21 lines 25-36, where Oda discusses the terminal controlling apparatus receiving a call set-up request and performing registration and identification procedures for a radio terminal to communicate across a network).

Consider claims 9, 18, 36, 45, and 54 as modified, Oda teaches claims 8, 17, and 44 above and further discloses a public network (see col. 2 lines 48-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 4, 14, 23, 32, 41, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda et al. (US 7177636 B2), hereafter "Oda," in view of Zmolek (US PGPub 20030154293), hereafter "Zmolek."

Application/Control Number: 10/797,765

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claims 4, 14, 23, 32, 41, and 50 as amended, Oda teaches claims 1, 10, 19, 28, 37, and 46 but does not particularly refer to a SIP proxy server. Zmolek teaches a SIP proxy server (see Zmolek: pars. 0005, 0049, 0051, 0063). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Oda and have it include a SIP proxy server, as taught by Zmolek, thereby facilitating media-independent signaling and the implementation of presence and availability systems, as discussed by Zmolek (see pars. 0003-0005).

7. Claims 6, 15, 24, 33, 42 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda et al. (US 7177636 B2), hereafter "Oda," in view of Dingman et al. (US PGPub 20040024879), hereafter "Dingman."

Consider claims 6, 15, 24, 33, 42 and 51 as amended, Oda teaches claims 1, 19, 28, 37, and 46 above, but does not particularly refer to a network address translator (NAT) or firewall (FW). Dingman teaches a network address translator (NAT) and firewall (FW) (see Dingman: pars. 0011, 0016). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Oda and have it include a network address translator (NAT) and firewall (FW), as taught Dingman, thereby enabling communication between a system within a protected network and an external system.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-54 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed

to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Delaney Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Application/Control Number: 10/797,765 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Amancio Gonzalez, whose telephone number is (571)

270-1106. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am

to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez can be reached at (571) 272-7915. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone

number is (571) 272-2600.

Amancio González

AG/ag

August 20, 2007

Rafael Perez-Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner

Fechnology Center 2600

8/20/07